UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/084,232	02/28/2002	Leslie Dort	45074-70	7803
23971 7590 07/12/2007 BENNETT JONES			EXAMINER	
C/O MS ROSEANN CALDWELL 4500 BANKERS HALL EAST 855 - 2ND STREET, SW CALGARY, AB T2P 4K7			BROWN, MICHAEL A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3772	
CANADA				
	,		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	07/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/084,232	DORT, LESLIE					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Michael Brown	3772					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	· .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice unde	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-10,12-16,19-22,24-26,28-30</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5, 13-14, 32-39 and 44-45</u> is/are 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-10,12,15,16,19-22,24-26 and 28</u> 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration. allowed. 3-30 is/are rejected.	ling in the application.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to	- · ·						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cord 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	· ·						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in a priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage					
######################################							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)					
 2) Notice of Neterletices Cited (PTO-052) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application					

DETAILED ACTION

NOTE: Although all claims in this case had been previous allowed, during a final review of the case a prior art reference was discovered and used in a new rejection.

The examiner apologizes for any inconveniences incurred by the attorney or the applicant because of this decision.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Forman.

Forman discloses in figures 1-2 a mouthpiece that anticipates a device for retaining a tongue in a pre-determined position comprising a single flange 16 having a first and second surface fig.1, the flexible is substantially flexible and sized and shaped to be comfortably received between a person's lips and frontal surface of a person's teeth (col. 3, lines 26-30), an aperture (the aperture in side of 16), having a distal end (the end away from flange 16) and a proximal end (the end next to the flange 16), disposed in the flange, the aperture includes walls (the walls of 14), extending from a first surface of the flange, the walls forming a bulb (bulb can be interpreted as a circular member), protruding from the first surface of the single flange. The aperture is capable of receiving a user's tongue. The flange and bulb are formed from a molded one-piece

body (fig. 1). The bulb can form a vacuum (sealing a person's lips against the bulb, col. 3, 30-34) and the walls form a smooth continuous surface with the first surface of the single flange (fig. 1). The proximal end of the aperture is radiused for providing a smooth continuous surface with the second surface of the single flange. The distal end of the aperture is radiused for providing a smooth continuous surface with the walls of the bulb.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 12, 15-16,19-22, 24-26, 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Forman in view of Scarberry.

Forman discloses in figures 1-2 a mouthpiece that anticipates a device for retaining a tongue, substantially as claimed. However, Forman doesn't disclose the molded one-piece body formed of urethane. Scarberry teaches in figure 4 a device for retaining a tongue comprising an integrally molded one-piece body made of urethane (polyurethane, col. 5, lines 1-4). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made that the mouthpiece disclosed by Forman could be fabricated of polyurethane as taught by Scarberry because it is a flexible material that is capable of receiving the tongue and protecting the teeth. The means of forming the molded one-piece body are old and well known in the mouthpiece

art. The thickness of the molded one-piece body is a design choice that provides no novelty over the prior art. The thickness of the one-piece molded body being constant is a design choice. The single flange is adjustable (movable inside of the mouth). The chamber of the device has a predetermined volume that a predetermined amount of a user's tongue will fit inside. The chamber is sized and shaped to receive the tongue and the aperture is elongated and shaped to receive a person's tongue. Note:

Reference number 22 in Forman is shaped similar to a person's tongue.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-5, 13-14, 32-39 and 44-45 are allowed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Brown whose telephone number is 571-272-4972. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 am-4:00 pm Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patricia Bianco can be reached on 571-272-4940. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

M. Brown July 8, 2007

MICHAEL A. BROWN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Michael Bro